

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/115,764

Applicant(s)

REASONER

Examiner

Vinh Luong

Group Art Unit

3682

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vinh Luong

(3) _____

(2) John E. Carlson

(4) _____

Date of Interview 4/12/00Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: all of the pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Adams et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,119,689); Teichert (U.S. Patent No. 5,339,783) and Glover et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,598,809)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant contended, inter alia, that: (1) the core element 12 of Teichert is not movable inside the conduit 54 because the core 12 is secured to the fitting 54 as described in line 66 et seq., column 5; (2) the conduit sections of Adams are not shortened because the spring 20 pushes the conduit away; and (3) Fig. 4 of Glover shows that the conduit sections are shortened, however, the shortening is not caused by the spring.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.


VINH LUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3682